arXiv:1201.5331v1 [math.AP] 25 Jan 2012

DISPERSIVE ESTIMATES IN $\mathbb{R}^3$ WITH THRESHOLD RESONANCES

MARIUS BECEANU

Abstract. We prove dispersive estimates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ for the Schrödinger evolution generated by the Hamiltonian $H = -\Delta + V$, under optimal conditions on V, in the presence of zero resonances.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Classification of exceptional Hamiltonians 1
1.2. Main result 2
1.3. History of the problem 3
2. Proof of the statements 5
2.1. Proofs of Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 5
2.2. Notations 5
2.3. Wiener spaces 6
2.4. Regular points and regular Hamiltonians 8
2.5. Exceptional Hamiltonians of the first kind. 10
Acknowledgments 21
References 21

1. Introduction

1.1. Classification of exceptional Hamiltonians.

Consider a Hamiltonian of the form $H = -\Delta + V$, where V is a real-valued scalar potential on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We assume $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, which is the dual of weak-L^3 and a Lorentz space; for its definition and properties see [BeLö]. By [Sim] this is sufficient to guarantee the self-adjointness of $H = -\Delta + V$.

Let $R_0(\lambda) := (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1}$ be the free resolvent corresponding to the free evolution $e^{it\Delta}$ and let $R_V(\lambda) := (-\Delta + V - \lambda)^{-1}$ be the perturbed resolvent corresponding to the perturbed evolution e^{-itH}. Explicitly, in three dimensions and for $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0$,

$$R_0(\lambda^2)(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|}. \tag{1.1}$$

The author was partially supported by a Rutgers Research Council grant.

It will be shown below that under reasonable assumptions H has only finitely many negative eigenvalues. Then the evolution restricted to the continuous spectrum $[0, \infty)$ has the representation formula

$$e^{itH} P_c = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-it\eta} \big(R_V(\eta + i\epsilon) - R_V(\eta - i\epsilon) \big) \, d\eta.$$

By the work of Ionescu–Jerison [IoJe] and Goldberg–Schlag [GoSc], it is known that, when $V \in L^{3/2}$, $R_V(\lambda \pm i0)$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{B}(L^{6/5}, L^6)$ on any interval $\lambda \in [\epsilon_0, \infty)$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and has no singularities in $[0, \infty)$ except potentially at $\lambda = 0$.

Observe that $R_V = (I + R_0 V)^{-1} R_0$, so R_V has a singularity at zero precisely when $I + R_0(0)V$, which is compact, is not invertible.

We denote the null space of $I + R_0(0)V$ by $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\mathcal{M} := \{\phi \in L^\infty \mid \phi + R_0(0)V\phi = 0\}.$$

If $\mathcal{M} \neq \varnothing$ we say that H is of *exceptional type.*

The sesquilinear form $-(u, Vv)$ is an inner product on $\mathcal{M}$. This pairing is well-defined when $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ because $u, v \in L^{6,\infty}$ by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *Let $V \in L^{3/2,1}$; then $\mathcal{M} \subset L^{3,\infty}$. Conversely, any $\phi \in L^{3,\infty}$ that satisfies the equation $\phi + R_0(0)V\phi = 0$ must be in L^∞, hence in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Let $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{M} \cap L^2$ and P_0 be the orthogonal L^2 projection onto $\mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Assume that $V \in \langle x \rangle^{-1} L^{3/2,1} \subset L^1$. Then $\phi(x) - \dfrac{\langle \phi, V \rangle}{|x|} \in |x|^{-1} L^\infty \cap |x|^{-1} L^{3,\infty}$. Thus $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$ is in $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\langle \phi, V \rangle = 0$; then* $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{M}} E \leq 1$.

A function $\phi \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{E}$ is called a zero resonance of H. Following [JeKa] and [Yaj], we classify exceptional Hamiltonians H as follows:

1. H is of exceptional type of the first kind if it has a zero resonance, but no zero eigenfunctions: $\{0\} = \mathcal{E}$.
2. H is of exceptional type of the second kind if it has zero eigenfunctions, but no zero resonance: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}$.
3. H is of exceptional type of the third kind if it has both resonances and eigenfunctions at zero: $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{E} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$.

1.2. **Main result.** When H is of exceptional type of the first kind, we let the *canonical resonance* be ϕ such that $\langle V, \phi \rangle > 0$ and $-\langle \phi, V\phi \rangle = 1$.

Using the canonical resonance $\phi(x)$, we define a constant a and a function $\zeta_t(x)$ by

$$a = \frac{4\pi i}{|\langle V, \phi \rangle|^2}, \ \zeta_t(x) = e^{\frac{ix^2}{4t}} \phi(x).$$

Let the operator $R(t)$ be given by

$$R(t) := \frac{a e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \zeta_t(x) \otimes \zeta_t(y),$$

Proposition 1.3 (Main result). *Assume that* $\langle x\rangle^2 V \in L^{3/2,1}$ *and that* $H = -\Delta + V$ *has a resonance* ϕ *at zero (i.e.* H *is exceptional of the first kind). Then for* $1 \leq p < 3/2$ *and any* $u \in L^2 \cap L^p$

$$e^{-itH} P_c u = Z(t)u + R(t)u,\ \|Z(t)u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Furthermore, assuming only that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$*, for* $3/2 < p \leq 2$

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p},\ \|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}.$$

The rest of the paper is dedicated to proving this main result.

1.3. **History of the problem.**

We study solutions to the linear Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with potential

$$i\partial_t \Psi + \Delta\Psi - V\Psi = 0,\ \Psi(0) \text{ given}.$$

By the RAGE theorem, every solution is the sum of a bound and a scattering component. The quantitative study of scattering states begins with Rauch [Rau], who proved that if $H = -\Delta + gV$, $g \in \mathbb{C}$, with exponentially decaying V, then $e^{itH}P_c$ has a local decay rate of $t^{-3/2}$, with at most a discrete set of exceptional g for which the decay rate is $t^{-1/2}$. Here P_c is the projection on the space of scattering solutions.

Threshold estimates in the presence of eigenvalues and resonances go back to the work of Jensen–Kato [JeKa], who obtained an asymptotic expansion of the resolvent $R(\zeta) = (H-\zeta)^{-1}$ into

$$R(\zeta) = -\zeta^{-1}B_{-2} - i\zeta^{-1/2}B_{-1} + B_0 + i\zeta^{l/2}B_1 + \dots$$

and similar ones for the spectral density and the S-matrix. The condition imposed on the potential was polynomial decay at infinity of the form $(1+|x|^\beta)V(x) \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\beta > 2$.

The possible singularities in this expansion are due to the presence of resonances or eigenstates at zero. B_{-2} is the L^2 orthogonal projection on the zero eigenspace, while B_{-1} is given by

$$B_{-1} = P_0 V \frac{|x-y|^2}{24\pi} V P_0 - \phi \otimes \phi,$$

where ϕ is the canonical zero resonance, see above.

Jensen–Kato also obtained an asymptotic expansion for the evolution $e^{itH}P_c$, in two cases: if zero is a regular point, then

$$e^{itH}P_c = -(4\pi i)^{-l/2} t^{-3/2} B_0 + o(t^{-3/2})$$

and if there is only a resonance ϕ at zero then

$$e^{itH}P_c = (\pi i)^{-l/2} t^{-1/2} \phi \otimes \phi + o(t^{-1/2}).$$

Murata [Mur] extended these results by obtaining an asymptotic expansion to any order, for a more general evolution, with or without singular points, and then proving that each term in the expansion is degenerate. Murata's expansion and proof are valid in weighted L^2 spaces.

Erdogan–Schlag [ErSc1] obtained an asymptotic expansion of the evolution $e^{itH}P_c$ in the pointwise L^1-to-L^∞ setting using the Jensen–Nenciu [JeNe] lemma. The condition assumed for the potential was that $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-12-\epsilon}$. The same method works in the case of nonselfadjoint Hamiltonians, see [ErSc2], of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta + \mu + V_1 & V_2 \\ -V_2 & \Delta - \mu - V_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

assuming that $|V_1(x)| + |V_2(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-10-\epsilon}$.

At the same time, Yajima [Yaj] proved a similar expansion for generic Hamiltonians $H = -\Delta + V$ if $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-5/2-\epsilon}$, for singular Hamiltonians of the first kind when $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-9/2-\epsilon}$, and of the second and third kind when $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-11/2-\epsilon}$. His main result stated the following:

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 1.3, [Yaj]). *(1) Let V satisfy $|V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 5/2$. Suppose that H is of generic type. Then, for any $1 \leq q \leq 2 \leq p \leq \infty$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$,*

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_p \leq C_p t^{-3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u\|_q, u \in L^2 \cap L^q. \tag{1.2}$$

(2) Let V satisfy $|V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 11/2$. Suppose that H is of exceptional type. Then the following statements are satisfied:

a) Estimate (1.2) holds when p and q are restricted to $3/2 < q \leq 2 \leq p < 3$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

b) Estimate (1.2) holds when $p = 3$ and $q = 3/2$ provided that L^3 and $L^{3/2}$ are respectively replaced by Lorentz spaces $L^{3,\infty}$ and $L^{3/2,1}$.

c) When $3 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < 3/2$ are such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$, there exists a constant C_{pq} such that for any $u \in L^2 \cap L^q$,

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c - R(t) - S(t)u\|_p \lesssim C_{pq} t^{-3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|u\|_q.$$

If H is of exceptional type of the first kind, statement (2) holds under a weaker decay condition $|V(x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ with $\beta > 9/2$.

Several results [JSS] [GoSc] [Gol] [BeGo1] address the issue of pointwise decay in the case of generic Hamiltonians — for $L^{3/2-\epsilon} \cap L^{3/2+\epsilon}$ potentials in [Gol] and Kato-class potentials in [BeGo1].

The current result, Theorem 1.3, represents an improvement on [Yaj], by half a power of potential decay for exceptional Hamiltonians of the first kind. We expect the rate of potential decay from Theorem 1.3 to be optimal for this sort of result.

The same considerations apply in the case of exceptional Hamiltonians of the second and third kind, also leading to similar improved results. These will constitute the subject of a separate paper.

Below we mostly follow the scheme of Yajima's proof in [Yaj], making the changes needed to improve the result.

2. Proof of the statements

2.1. Proofs of Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let $V = V_1 + V_2$, where V_1 is smooth of compact support and $\|V_2\|_{L^{3/2,1}} << 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= (I + R_0(0)V_2)^{-1} R_0(0) V_1 \phi \\ &= \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (R_0(0)V_2)^k\Big) R_0(0) V_1 \phi.\end{aligned}$$

where the inverse is the sum of a Neumann series, thus bounded on $L^{3,\infty}$ and on L^{∞}.

If $\phi \in L^{\infty}$, then $V_1\phi \in L^1$, hence $R_0(0)V_1\phi \in L^{3,\infty}$, so $\phi \in L^{3,\infty}$.

If $\phi \in L^{3,\infty}$, then $V_1\phi \in L^{3/2,1}$, hence $R_0(0)V_1\phi \in L^{\infty}$, so $\phi \in L^{\infty}$. ☐

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Start from

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) - \frac{\langle \phi, V\rangle}{|x|} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|} V(y)\phi(y)\,dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|x| - |x-y|}{|x-y||x|} V(y)\phi(y)\,dy\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded in absolute value by $\displaystyle\frac{1}{|x|}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|y||V(y)||\phi(y)|}{|x-y|}\,dy \in |x|^{-1}L^{\infty} \cap |x|^{-1}L^{3,\infty} \subset L^{3,\infty} \cap L^{3/2,\infty}$.

Lastly, $\mathcal{E}$ is the kernel of the rank-one map $\phi \mapsto \langle \phi, V\rangle$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, so it has codimension at most 1. ☐

2.2. Notations.

We denote Lorenz spaces by $L^{p,q}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ (see [BeLö] for their definition and properties), Sobolev spaces by $W^{s,p}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and fix the Fourier transform to

$$\widehat{f}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\eta} f(x)\,dx,\ f^{\vee}(x) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\eta x} f(\eta)\,d\eta.$$

We adopt the point of view according to which

$$\begin{aligned}e^{itH_0} &= (R_{0a}(\lambda))^{\vee}(t);\ R_{0a}(\lambda) = (e^{itH_0})^{\wedge},\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R};\\ iR_0(\lambda) &= (\chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)e^{itH_0})^{\wedge}(\lambda),\ \operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0.\end{aligned}$$

Also, let

- χ_A be the characteristic function of the set A;
- $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of finite-mass Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^d$;
- δ_x denote Dirac's measure at x;
- $\langle x\rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$;
- $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be the Banach space of bounded operators from X to Y;
- C be any constant (not always the same throughout the paper);
- $a \lesssim b$ mean $|a| \leq C|b|$;
- $\mathcal{S}$ be the Schwartz space;

∗ $u \otimes v$ mean the rank-one operator $\langle \cdot, v\rangle u$;
∗ $K(x, y)$ denote the operator having $K(x, y)$ as integral kernel.

For a potential V, let $V_1 = |V|^{1/2}$ and $V_2 = |V|^{1/2} \operatorname{sgn} V$.

2.3. **Wiener spaces.**

Definition 2.1. For a Banach lattice X, let the space $\mathcal{V}_X$ consist of kernels $T(x, y, t)$ such that, for each pair (x, y), $T(x, y, t)$ is a finite measure in t on $\mathbb{R}$ and $M(T)(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} d|T(x, y, t)|$ is an X-bounded operator.

$\mathcal{V}_X$ is an algebra under

$$(T_1 \circ T_2)(x, z, t) := \int T_1(x, y, s) T_2(y, z, t - s)\, dy\, ds.$$

Elements of $\mathcal{V}_X$ have Fourier transforms

$$\widehat{T}(x, y, \lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda}\, dT(x, y, t)$$

and, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $T_1^\vee(\lambda) \circ T_2^\vee(\lambda) = (T_1 \circ T_2)^\vee(\lambda)$.

The space $\mathcal{V}_X$ contains elements of the form $\delta_0(t)T(x, y)$, whose Fourier transform is constantly the operator $T(x, y) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. In particular, rank-one operators $\delta_0(t)\phi(x) \otimes \psi(y)$ are in $\mathcal{V}_p$ when $\psi \in X^*$, $\phi \in X$. More generally, $f(t)T(x, y) \in \mathcal{V}_X$ if $f(t) \in L^1$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Moreover, for two Banach spaces X and Y of functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$, we also define the space $\mathcal{V}_{X,Y}$ of kernels $T(x, y, t)$ such that $M(T)(x, y)$ is a bounded operator from X to Y. The set of such operators forms an algebroid.

For example, note that $R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,1}, L^\infty} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^1, L^{3,\infty}}$ and $\partial_\lambda R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^1, L^\infty}$. Indeed, the Fourier transform in λ is

$$R_0^\vee(t)(x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-1} \delta_{|x-y|}(t),$$

so $M(R_0) = \dfrac{1}{4\pi|x - y|}$. Clearly $\dfrac{1}{4\pi|x - y|}$ is in $\mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,1}, L^\infty) \cap \mathcal{B}(L^1, L^{3,\infty})$.

Likewise, $(\partial_\lambda R_0)^\vee(t)(x, y) = (4\pi)^{-1}\delta_{|x-y|}(t)$, so $M(\partial_\lambda R_0) = (4\pi)^{-1} 1 \otimes 1$, which is in $\mathcal{B}(L^1, L^\infty)$.

A space that will repeatedly intervene in computations is

Definition 2.2. $\mathcal{W} = \{L \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}} \mid \partial_\lambda L \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}\}$.

This space has the algebra property that $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{W} \implies L_1 L_2 \in \mathcal{W}$. Let χ be a standard cutoff function. Another useful algebra is

$$\mathcal{W}_{loc} = \{L \mid \text{for } \epsilon << 1,\ \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)L \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}} \text{ and } \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda L \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}\}.$$

We call terms belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{loc}$ regular terms and generally denote such terms by $E(\lambda)$.

The following technical lemma will be useful in the following:

Lemma 2.1 (Fourier transforms)**.**

$$\begin{aligned} M\Big(\frac{e^{is|x-y|}}{|x-y|}\Big) &= \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|};\\ M\Big(\partial_s\frac{e^{is|x-y|}}{|x-y|}\Big) &= \frac{1\otimes 1}{4\pi};\\ M\Big(\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)}{s}\Big) &= \frac{1\otimes 1}{4\pi};\\ M\Big(\partial_s\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)}{s}\Big) &= \frac{|x-y|}{8\pi};\\ M\Big(\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)-is\frac{1\otimes 1}{4\pi}}{s^2}\Big) &= \frac{|x-y|}{8\pi};\\ M\Big(\partial_s\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)-is\frac{1\otimes 1}{4\pi}}{s^2}\Big) &= \frac{|x-y|^2}{24\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $a > 0$. Observe that the Fourier transform of $e^{i\lambda a}$ in λ is $\delta_a(t)$. Then

$$\frac{e^{i\lambda a}-1}{i\lambda} = \int_0^a e^{i\lambda b}\,db,$$

so $\Big(\dfrac{e^{i\lambda a}-1}{i\lambda}\Big)^\wedge = \chi_{[0,a]}(\lambda)$. Also

$$\frac{e^{i\lambda a}-1-i\lambda a}{i\lambda^2} = \int_0^a \frac{e^{i\lambda b}-1}{\lambda}\,db,$$

so $\Big(\dfrac{e^{i\lambda a}-1-i\lambda a}{i\lambda^2}\Big)^\wedge = (a-t)\chi_{[0,a]}(t)$.

Note that $R_0(s^2) = \dfrac{e^{is|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$ has the Fourier transform $\frac{\delta_{|x-y|}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}$. Thus

$$R_0(s^2)^\wedge = \Big(\frac{e^{is|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}\Big)^\wedge = \frac{\delta_{|x-y|}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}.$$

Integrating the absolute value in σ we obtain $\dfrac{1}{4\pi|x-y|}$.

Likewise, $\Big(\dfrac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)}{s}\Big)^\wedge = \dfrac{i\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}$. Integrating the absolute value in σ we get $\dfrac{1}{4\pi} = \dfrac{1\otimes 1}{4\pi}$.

The Fourier transform of the derivative is $\Big(\partial_s\dfrac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)}{s}\Big)^\wedge = \dfrac{i\sigma\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}$. Integrating in σ we obtain $\dfrac{|x-y|}{8\pi}$.

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)-is1\otimes 1}{s^2}\Big)^\wedge &= \Big(\frac{e^{is|x-y|}-1-is|x-y|}{4\pi s^2|x-y|}\Big)^\wedge \\ &= \frac{(|x-y|-\sigma)\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Integrating in σ we obtain $\dfrac{|x-y|}{8\pi}$.

The Fourier transform of the derivative is

$$\Big(\partial_s\frac{R_0(s^2)-R_0(0)-is1\otimes 1}{s^2}\Big)^\wedge = \frac{\sigma(|x-y|-\sigma)\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(\sigma)}{4\pi|x-y|}.$$

Integrating in σ we obtain $\dfrac{|x-y|^2}{24\pi}$.

□

2.4. **Regular points and regular Hamiltonians.** Before examining the possible singularity at zero, we study what happens at regular points in the spectrum.

The following two properties play an important part in the study:

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\widehat{T}(\lambda)=V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1$.

C1. $\lim_{R\to\infty}\|\chi_{t\geq R}(t)T(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}}\cap\mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}}=0$.

C2. For some $n\geq 1$ $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|T^n(t+\epsilon)-T^n(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}}\cap\mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}}=0$.

We refer the reader to similar properties that appear in the proof of Theorem 5 in [BeGo1].

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Suppose V_1 and V_2 are bounded functions with compact support in a set of diameter D. It follows that for $R>2D$ $\chi(\lambda/R)T(\lambda)=0$, so in particular $\|\chi_{t\geq R}M\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}}\cap\mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}}\to 0$ as $R\to\infty$, and property C1 is preserved by taking the limit of V_1 and V_2 in $L^{3,2}$.

Next, fix $p\in(1,4/3]$ and assume that V_1 and V_2 are bounded and of compact support. Then $\hat{T}(\lambda)$, having a kernel equal in absolute value to $\dfrac{|V(x)|^{1/2}|V(y)|^{1/2}}{4\pi|x-y|}$, is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{B}(X,L^p)$, $\mathcal{B}(L^p,X)$, and $\mathcal{B}(L^p)$ for all λ, where X is $L^{3/2,2}$ or $L^{3,2}$.

Since V_1 and V_2 are bounded and of compact support, T also has the local and distal properties

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big\|\chi_{<\epsilon}(|x-y|)\frac{V_2(x)V_1(y)}{|x-y|}\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2})\cap\mathcal{B}(L^{3,2})}=0$$

and

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\Big\|\chi_{>R}(|x-y|)\frac{V_2(x)V_1(y)}{|x-y|}\Big\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2})\cap\mathcal{B}(L^{3,2})}=0.$$

Combined with condition C1, this implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a cutoff function χ compactly supported in $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|\chi(\rho)T(\rho) - T(\rho)\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^1}} < \epsilon.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that condition C2 holds for $\chi(\rho)T(\rho)$, where χ is a compactly supported cutoff function in $(0, \infty)$.

The Fourier transform of $\chi(\rho)T(\rho)$ has the form

$$(\chi(\rho)T(\rho))^\wedge(\lambda) = V_2(x)\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}\chi(|x-y|)V_1(y). \tag{2.2}$$

Such oscillating kernels have decay in the L^p operator norm for $p > 1$. By the Lemma of [Ste], page 392,

$$\|(\chi(\rho)T(\rho))^\wedge(\lambda)f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \lambda^{-3/p'}\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\big((\chi(\rho)T(\rho))^\wedge(\lambda)\big)^N f\|_X \lesssim \lambda^{-3(N-2)/p'}\|f\|_X.$$

For $N > 2 + 2p'/3$, this shows that $\partial_\rho(\chi(\rho)T(\rho))^N$ are uniformly bounded operators in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, where X is either $L^{3/2,2}$ or $L^{3,2}$. Since $(\chi(\rho)T(\rho))^N$ has compact support in ρ, this in turn implies C2.

For general $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, choose a sequence of bounded compactly supported approximations for which C2 holds, as shown above. By a limiting process, we obtain that C2 also holds for V. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $T(\lambda) = I + V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1$. *Assume that* $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ *and let* $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. *Consider a cutoff function* χ. *Then, for* $\epsilon << 1$, $(\chi((\lambda - \lambda_0)/\epsilon)\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1})^\vee \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ *and* $(\chi((\lambda-\lambda_0)/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda T(\lambda)^{-1})^\vee \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$.

Likewise, infinity is a regular point: for $R >> 1$ $(1 - \chi(\lambda/R))\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ *and* $(1 - \chi(\lambda/R))\partial_\lambda\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $S_\epsilon(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)(V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1 - V_2R_0(\lambda_0^2)V_1)$. A simple argument based on condition C1 shows that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|S_\epsilon(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}} = 0$. Then, for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda) &= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\big(\widehat{T}(\lambda_0) + \chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)(R_0(\lambda^2)V - R_0(\lambda_0^2)V)\big)^{-1} \\ &= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}(\lambda_0)^{-1}(I + S_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda)\widehat{T}(\lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}(\lambda_0)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k(S_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda)\widehat{T}(\lambda_0)^{-1})^k.\end{aligned}$$

The series above converges for sufficiently small ϵ_0, showing that $(\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda))^\vee \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$.

Concerning the derivative, for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0/2$

$$\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda) = -\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda)\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\partial_\lambda\widehat{T}(\lambda)\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

In this expression $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ and $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\partial_\lambda \widehat{T}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}$ since $M(\partial_\lambda \widehat{T}(\lambda)) = \dfrac{1 \otimes |V(y)|}{4\pi}$. Thus $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda \widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}$.

At infinity, for any real number L one can express $(1-\chi(\lambda/L))\widehat{T}(\lambda)$ as the Fourier transform of

$$S_L(\rho) = \big(M^\vee - L\check{\eta}(L\,\cdot\,) * M^\vee\big)(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L\check{\eta}(L\sigma)[M^\vee(\rho) - M^\vee(\rho-\sigma)]\,d\sigma$$

Thanks to condition C2, the norm of the right-hand integral vanishes as $L \to \infty$. This makes it possible to construct an inverse Fourier transform for

$$(1-\chi(\lambda/2L))\big(I + \widehat{T}(\lambda)\big)^{-1} = (1-\chi(\lambda/2L))\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\Big((1-\chi(\lambda/L))\widehat{T}(\lambda)\Big)^k$$

via a convergent power series expansion provided $L \geq L_1$.

If only T^N satisfies condition C2 then one constructs an inverse Fourier transform for $(1-\chi(\lambda/2L))(I \pm \widehat{T}^N(\lambda))^{-1}$ via this process and observes that

$$(1-\chi(\lambda/2L))\big(I+\widehat{T}(\lambda)\big)^{-1} = (1-\chi(\lambda/2L))\big(I+(-\widehat{T}(\lambda))^N\big)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(-1)^k\widehat{T}^k(\lambda).$$

$\square$

In the case when H is generic, we can cover the whole spectrum $[0,\infty)$ by open neighborhoods of regular points, plus an open neighborhood of infinity, and choose a subordinate partition of unity. We retrieve a form of Theorem 2 of [BeGo1]:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ be a real-valued potential for which the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V$ has no resonances or eigenvalues within the halfline $[0,+\infty)$. Then*

$$\big\|e^{-itH}P_c f\big\|_\infty \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|f\|_1. \tag{2.3}$$

In the context of the wave equation, again if the Hamiltonian H is generic, we retrieve the results of [BeGo2].

We next consider the effect of singularities at zero.

2.5. Exceptional Hamiltonians of the first kind.

Let

$$Q = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z+1|=\delta}(V_2 R_0(0)V_1 - z)^{-1}\,dz$$

and $\overline{Q} = 1 - Q$. Assuming that $H = -\Delta + V$ has only a resonance ϕ at zero, then, following Yajima [Yaj],

$$Q = -V_2\phi \otimes V_1\phi.$$

The resonance $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the equation $\phi = -R_0(0)V\phi$. Since $\phi \in L^{3,\infty} \cap L^\infty$, Q is bounded on $L^{3/2,3}$ and on $L^{3,2}$, so $Q \in \mathcal{W}$. Moreover, Q is in $\mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2})$ and in $\mathcal{B}(L^{3,2}, L^{3/2,2})$.

Note that, since

$$e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1 \lesssim \min(1, \lambda|x-y|) \implies e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1 \lesssim \lambda^\delta |x-y|^\delta,$$

one has

$$V_2(x)\Big(\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}}{|x-y|}-\frac{1}{|x-y|}\Big)V_1(y) \lesssim V_2(x)\lambda V_1(y). \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$, $\widehat{T}(\lambda) = I + V_2R_0(\lambda)V_1$ is Lipschitz continuous in $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$. This implies that, more generally, when $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ $\widehat{T}(\lambda)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$.

Let

$$K = (I + V_2R_0(0)V_1 + Q)^{-1}(I-Q).$$

Then K is the inverse of $I + V_2R_0(0)V_1$ in $\mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2}) \cap \mathcal{B}(L^{3,2})$, in the sense that

$$K(I + V_2R_0(0)V_1) = (I + V_2R_0(0)V_1)K = I - Q := \overline{Q}. \tag{2.5}$$

The following lemma (Lemma 4.7 from Yajima [Yaj]) is extremely useful in studying the singularity at zero.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $X = X_0 + X_1$ be a direct sum decomposition of a vector space X. Suppose that a linear operator $L \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is written in the form*

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{00} & L_{01} \\ L_{10} & L_{11}. \end{pmatrix}.$$

in this decomposition and that L_{00}^{-1} exists. Set $C = L_{11} - L_{10}L_{00}^{-1}L_{01}$. Then, L^{-1} exists if and only if C^{-1} exists. In this case

$$L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{00}^{-1} + L_{00}^{-1}L_{01}C^{-1}L_{10}L_{00}^{-1} & -L_{00}^{-1}L_{01}C^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}L_{10}L_{00}^{-1} & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

By definition, an exceptional point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is one where $T(\lambda)$ is not L^2-invertible.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $\langle x\rangle^2 V \in L^{3/2,1}$ and that $H = -\Delta + V$ has a resonance ϕ at zero. Then for $\lambda << 1$*

$$\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1} = (I + V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1)^{-1} = L(\lambda) - \lambda^{-1}\frac{4\pi i}{|\langle V, \phi\rangle|^2}V_2\phi \otimes V_1\phi,$$

where $L \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$.

Moreover, zero is an isolated exceptional point, so $H = -\Delta + V$ has finitely many negative eigenvalues.

The computations in the proof of this lemma parallel those in Section 4.3 of [Yaj]. The main difference is using $\widehat{L}^1$-related spaces instead of Hölder spaces for regular bounded terms.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. We apply Lemma 2.5 to

$$\widehat{T}(\lambda) := I + V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)\overline{Q} & \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \\ Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)\overline{Q} & Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} T_{00}(\lambda) & T_{01}(\lambda) \\ T_{10}(\lambda) & T_{11}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $T_{00}(\lambda) := \overline{Q}(I + V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1)\overline{Q}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\overline{Q}L^2)$ for $|\lambda| << 1$, because

$$T_{00}(0) = \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(0)\overline{Q} = \overline{Q}(I + V_2 R_0(0) V_1)\overline{Q}$$

is invertible on $\overline{Q}L^2$ of inverse K, see (2.5), and $T_{00}(\lambda)$ is continuous in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$, see (2.4) above.

Assume $T_{00}(\lambda)$ were not invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\overline{Q}L^{3/2,2})$ or in $\mathcal{B}(\overline{Q}L^{3,2})$; then by Fredholm's alternative there should exist a solution f to the equation $f + V_2 R_0(\lambda) V_1 f = 0$ in $\overline{Q}L^{3/2,2}$ or $\overline{Q}L^{3,2}$. However, such a solution will also be in $\overline{Q}L^2$, which contradicts the invertibility of $T_{00}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\overline{Q}L^2)$.

Furthermore, start from $R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,1}, L^\infty} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^1, L^{3,\infty}}$ and $\partial_\lambda R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^1, L^\infty}$. We know that $V_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,\infty}, L^1} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^\infty, L^{3,2}}$. Thus $V_2 R_0 V_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\overline{Q}$ preserves that. Then $T_{00}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}$ as well.

Next, since $T_{00}(0)$ is invertible, $T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$. The proof is as follows: let $S_\epsilon(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\overline{Q}(V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1 - V_2 R_0(0) V_1)\overline{Q}$. A simple argument based on condition C1 shows that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|S_\epsilon(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}} = 0$. Then, for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) &= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\big(T_{00}(0) + \chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\overline{Q}(V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1 - V_2 R_0(0) V_1)\overline{Q}\big)^{-1} \\
&= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}(0)^{-1}(I + S_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda) T_{00}(0)^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= \chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}(0)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k (S_{\epsilon_0}(\lambda) T_{00}(0)^{-1})^k.
\end{aligned}$$

The series above converges for sufficiently small ϵ_0, showing that $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$.

Concerning the derivative, for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0/2$

$$\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) = -\chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\partial_\lambda T_{00}(\lambda)\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0) T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

In this expression $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon) T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ and $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon_0)\partial_\lambda T_{00}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}$ since $M(\partial_\lambda T_{00}(\lambda)) = \dfrac{|V_2| \otimes |V_1|}{4\pi}$. Thus $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\lambda) &:= \frac{\widehat{T}(\lambda) - (I + V_2 R_0(0) V_1 + i\lambda(4\pi)^{-1} V_2 \otimes V_1)}{\lambda^2} \\
&= \frac{V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1 - V_2 R_0(0) V_1 - i\lambda(4\pi)^{-1} V_2 \otimes V_1}{\lambda^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{11}(\lambda) = Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q &= Q(I + V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1)Q \\
&= Q(V_2 R_0(\lambda^2) V_1 - V_2 R_0(0) V_1)Q \\
&= V_2\phi \otimes V\phi (R_0(\lambda^2) - R_0(0)) V\phi \otimes V_1\phi \\
&= \Big(\lambda \frac{|\langle V, \phi\rangle|^2}{4i\pi} - \lambda^2 \langle V_1\phi, J(\lambda) V_2\phi\rangle\Big) Q \\
&= (\lambda a^{-1} - \lambda^2 \langle V_1\phi, J(\lambda) V_2\phi)\rangle) Q \\
&:= \lambda c_0(\lambda) Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $c_0(0) = a^{-1} \neq 0$. Recall that $a = \dfrac{4i\pi}{|\langle V, \phi\rangle|^2}$.

Here $c_0(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi(x)V(y)\phi(y)\Big\|\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda}\,dx\,dy < \infty.$$

For every x and y, by Lemma 2.1

$$\Big\|\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda} = \Big\|\frac{\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(t)}{|x-y|}\Big\|_{L^1_t} = 1,$$

so it is enough to assume that $V\phi \in L^1$, i.e. that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, to prove that $c_0(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$.

In order for $\partial_\lambda c_0(\lambda)$ to be in $\widehat{L}^1$, it suffices that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi(x)V(y)\phi(y)\Big\|\partial_\lambda\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda}\,dx\,dy < \infty.$$

For every x and y, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\Big\|\partial_\lambda\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda} = \Big\|\frac{t\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(t)}{|x-y|}\Big\|_{L^1_t} = \frac{|x-y|}{2},$$

so $\partial_\lambda c_0(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ when $V\phi \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^1$, i.e. when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$.

Regarding $J(\lambda)$, when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$ then

$$\langle J(\lambda)V_2\phi, V_1\phi\rangle = \Big\langle\frac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)-i\lambda(4\pi)^{-1}1\otimes 1}{\lambda^2}V\phi, V\phi\Big\rangle \in \widehat{L}^1_\lambda.$$

Moreover, when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-2}L^{3/2,1}$, $\langle\partial_\lambda J(\lambda)V_2\phi, V_1\phi\rangle \in \widehat{L}^1_\lambda$.

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) &:= \widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2\phi = (V_2R_0(\lambda)V - V_2R_0(0)V)\phi\\ &= \lambda\Big(i\frac{V_2\otimes V_1}{4\pi} + \lambda J(\lambda)\Big)V_2\phi\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\tilde{\psi}^*(\lambda) &:= \widehat{T}(\lambda)^*V_1\phi = (V_1R_0^*(\lambda)V - V_1R_0(0)V)\phi\\ &= \lambda\Big(-i\frac{V_1\otimes V_2}{4\pi} + \lambda J^*(\lambda)\Big)V_1\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $M(J(\lambda)) = |V_2|\dfrac{|x-y|}{8\pi}|V_1|$ is a bounded operator from $L^{3/2,2}$ to $L^{3,2}$, assuming that $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-2}L^{3/2,1}$. Thus $J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$ and same goes for $\lambda\partial_\lambda J(\lambda)$.

Moreover, $M(\lambda J(\lambda)) = \dfrac{|V_2|\otimes|V_1|}{2\pi}$. Thus $\lambda J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^2,L^2}$ for $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$ and $\lambda J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2},L^{3,2}}$ when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-2}L^{3/2,1}$. Further note that $\partial_\lambda(\lambda J(\lambda)) = J(\lambda)+\lambda\partial_\lambda J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$. It follows that $\lambda J(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ is a regular term.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}T_{01}(\lambda) &:= \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q = \widehat{T}(\lambda)Q - Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \\ &= -\lambda\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) \otimes V_1\phi - \lambda c_0(\lambda)Q \\ &= -\lambda(\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) - c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi) \otimes V_1\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$T_{10}(\lambda) = -\lambda V_2\phi \otimes (\tilde{\psi}^*(\lambda) - \overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi).$$

Note that $T_{01}(\lambda) = \lambda E_1(\lambda)$ and $T_{10}(\lambda) = \lambda E_2(\lambda)$ with E_1, $E_2 \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ regular terms.

Then $-T_{10}(\lambda)T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)T_{01}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 c_1(\lambda)Q$, where

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(\lambda) &:= \langle \tilde{\psi}^*(\lambda) - \overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi, T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)(\tilde{\psi}(\lambda) - c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi)\rangle \\ &= \Big\langle\Big(-i\frac{V_1 \otimes V_2}{4\pi} + \lambda J^*(\lambda)\Big)V_1\phi - \overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi, \\ &\quad T_{00}^{-1}\Big(\Big(i\frac{V_2 \otimes V_1}{4\pi} + \lambda J(\lambda)\Big)V_2\phi - c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi\Big)\Big\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

For example, one of the terms in (2.7) has the form

$$\langle \lambda J^*(\lambda)V_1\phi, T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)\lambda J(\lambda)V_2\phi\rangle. \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\lambda J(\lambda)$ and $T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)$ are regular terms and since $V_1\phi$, $V_2\phi \in L^{3/2,2} \cap L^{3,2}$, it immediately follows that (2.8) is in $\widehat{L}^1$ and its derivative is also in $\widehat{L}^1$.

We then recognize from formula (2.7) that, for a cutoff function χ, $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)c_1(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ and $\chi(\lambda/\epsilon)\partial_\lambda c_1(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-2}L^{3/2,1}$.

Let

$$C(\lambda) := T_{11}(\lambda) - T_{10}(\lambda)T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)T_{01}(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}C(\lambda) &= (c_0(\lambda)\lambda + \lambda^2 c_1(\lambda))Q \\ &= (\lambda a^{-1} - \lambda^2\langle V_1\phi, J(\lambda)V_2\phi\rangle + \lambda^2 c_1(\lambda))Q := \lambda a^{-1}Q + \lambda^2 c_2(\lambda)Q.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $C(\lambda)/\lambda$ is invertible for $|\lambda| << 1$ and when $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-2}L^{3/2,1}$ one has that

$$\begin{aligned}C^{-1}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda a^{-1} + \lambda^2 c_2(\lambda)}Q \\ &= \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda a^{-1}} + \frac{1}{\lambda a^{-1} + \lambda^2 c_2(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{\lambda a^{-1}}\Big)Q \\ &= \Big(\frac{a}{\lambda} - \frac{c_2(\lambda)}{\big(a^{-1} + \lambda c_2(\lambda)\big)a^{-1}}\Big)Q \\ &:= a\lambda^{-1}Q + E(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

For a fixed standard cutoff function χ and sufficiently small ϵ, since $Q \in \mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2}) \cap B(L^{3,2}) \cap \mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2})$, it follows that $E(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$.

The inverse of $\widehat{T}$ is then given by formula (2.6):

$$\widehat{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{00}^{-1} + T_{00}^{-1}T_{01}C^{-1}T_{10}T_{00}^{-1} & -T_{00}^{-1}T_{01}C^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}T_{10}T_{00}^{-1} & C^{-1}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Three of the matrix elements are regular terms belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{loc}$. Indeed, recall that $T_{00}^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ and $T_{10}(\lambda) = \lambda E_1(\lambda)$ and $T_{01}(\lambda) = \lambda E_2(\lambda)$, while $C^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} E_3(\lambda)$, with $E_1, E_2, E_3 \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$.

The fourth matrix element is C^{-1} in the lower-right corner, which is the sum of the regular term $E(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ and the singular term

$$a\lambda^{-1}Q = -a\lambda^{-1}V_2\phi \otimes V_1\phi.$$

Thus $a\lambda^{-1}Q$ is the only singular term in the expansion of $\widehat{T}(\lambda)$ at zero.

Further note that $\widehat{T}^{-1}$ is well-defined on a whole cut neighborhood of zero by the formula above. Thus zero is an isolated exceptional point, so there are finitely many negative eigenvalues.

□

Recall that, by definition, an exceptional point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is one where $T(\lambda)$ is not L^2-invertible.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ and that $H = -\Delta + V$ has a resonance ϕ at zero. Then $\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1} = L + S$, with $L \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ and locally at zero $\lambda S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}, L^{3/2,2}}$.*

Furthermore, 0 is an isolated exceptional point, so H has finitely many negative eigenvalues.

This lemma shows what happens in case the potential has the critical rate of decay. As such, it cannot be improved.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. We again apply Lemma 2.5 to

$$\widehat{T}(\lambda) := I + V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)\overline{Q} & \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \\ Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)\overline{Q} & Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} T_{00}(\lambda) & T_{01}(\lambda) \\ T_{10}(\lambda) & T_{11}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of the fact that $T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{W}_{loc}$ is the same as in Lemma 2.6.

Then note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11}(\lambda) &= Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q = Q(I + V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1)Q \\ &= Q(V_2R_0(\lambda^2)V_1 - V_2R_0(0)V_1)Q \\ &= V_2\phi \otimes V\phi(R_0(\lambda^2) - R_0(0))V\phi \otimes V_1\phi \\ &:= \lambda c_0(\lambda)Q. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $c_0(0) = a^{-1} \neq 0$. Recall that $a = \dfrac{4i\pi}{|\langle V, \phi\rangle|^2}$.

Here $c_0(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x)\phi(x)V(y)\phi(y)\Big\|\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda}\,dx\,dy < \infty.$$

For every x and y, by Lemma 2.1

$$\Big\|\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-y|}-1}{\lambda|x-y|}\Big\|_{\widehat{L}^1_\lambda} = \Big\|\frac{\chi_{[0,|x-y|]}(t)}{|x-y|}\Big\|_{L^1_t} = 1,$$

so it is enough to assume that $V\phi \in L^1$, i.e. that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$, to prove that $c_0(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{01}(\lambda) &:= \overline{Q}\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q = \widehat{T}(\lambda)Q - Q\widehat{T}(\lambda)Q \\ &= \big(V_2 R_0(\lambda^2)V\phi - \lambda c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi\big) \otimes V_1\phi \\ &= \lambda\Big(V_2\frac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V\phi - c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi\Big) \otimes V_1\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{10}(\lambda) &= -V_2\phi \otimes (V_1R_0^*(\lambda^2)V\phi - \lambda\overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi) \\ &= -\lambda V_2\phi \otimes \Big(V_1\frac{R_0^*(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V\phi - \overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus T_{10} and T_{01} are both in $\mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$.

Then $-T_{10}(\lambda)T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)T_{01}(\lambda) = \lambda c_1(\lambda)Q$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\lambda) = \Big\langle &V_1R_0^*(\lambda^2)V\phi - \lambda\overline{c_0(\lambda)}V_1\phi, \\ &T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)\Big(V_2\frac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V\phi - c_0(\lambda)V_2\phi\Big)\Big\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

For example, one term from formula (2.9) has the form

$$\Big\langle V_1R_0^*(\lambda^2)V_2V_1\phi, T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)V_2\frac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V_1V_2\phi\Big\rangle. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that $V_1R_0^*(\lambda^2)V_2$ and T_{00}^{-1} are regular terms, while

$$M\Big(V_2\frac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V_1\Big) = \frac{|V_2| \otimes |V_1|}{4\pi} \in \mathcal{B}(L^{3/2,2}, L^{3,2}),$$

so $V_2\dfrac{R_0(\lambda^2)-R_0(0)}{\lambda}V_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$. Taking into account the fact that $V_1\phi$, $V_2\phi \in L^{3/2,2}$, it follows that (2.10) is in $\widehat{L}^1$.

Thus we recognize from (2.9) that $c_1(\lambda) \in \widehat{L}^1$ when $V \in L^{3/2,1}$.

Further note that, since $R_0^*(0)V\phi = 0$, $c_1(0) = 0$.

Let

$$C(\lambda) := T_{11}(\lambda) - T_{10}(\lambda)T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda)T_{01}(\lambda).$$

Then

$$C(\lambda) = (c_0(\lambda)\lambda + c_1(\lambda)\lambda)Q.$$

Thus $C(\lambda)/\lambda$ is invertible for $|\lambda| << 1$ and $\lambda C^{-1}(\lambda) = c_2(\lambda)Q$, with c_2 locally in $\widehat{L}^1$. Consequently, locally near zero $\lambda C^{-1}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2},L^{3/2,2}}$.

The inverse of $\widehat{T}$ is then given by formula (2.6):

$$\widehat{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{00}^{-1} + T_{00}^{-1}T_{01}C^{-1}T_{10}T_{00}^{-1} & -T_{00}^{-1}T_{01}C^{-1} \\ -C^{-1}T_{10}T_{00}^{-1} & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It immediately follows that $\lambda(\widehat{T}^{-1}(\lambda) - T_{00}^{-1}(\lambda))$ is in $\mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2},L^{3/2,2}}$ and that $\widehat{T}^{-1}$, given by formula (2.6), exists on a whole cut neighborhood of zero. $\square$

Recall that

$$R(t) := \frac{ae^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi t}}\zeta_t(x) \otimes \zeta_t(y),\ \zeta_t(x) := e^{i|x|^2/4t}\phi(x).$$

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that* $\langle x\rangle^2 V \in L^{3/2,1}$ *and that* $H = -\Delta + V$ *has a resonance* ϕ *at zero. Then for* $1 \leq p < 3/2$

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{-itH}P_c u = Z(t)u + R(t)u,\\ &\|Z(t)u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|f\|_{L^p},\ \|Z(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{3/2,1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $3/2 < p \leq 2$

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.8. Write the evolution as

$$e^{-itH}P_c f = \frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1}V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big)f 2\lambda\, d\lambda.$$

We consider a partition of unity subordinated to the neighborhoods of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6:

$$1 = \chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon) + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k) + (1-\chi_\infty(\lambda/R)).$$

By Lemma 2.3, for any $\lambda_k \neq 0$, $\chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k)\widehat{T}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ and $\chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k)\partial_\lambda\widehat{T}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$ and likewise for $1-\chi_\infty(\lambda/R))\widehat{T}(\lambda)$. We call these terms regular; their sum is also regular. By Lemma 2.6 $\chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon)\widehat{T}(\lambda)$ also decomposes into a regular term L and a singular term $\lambda^{-1}aQ$.

Let $\chi_{00}(\lambda) = \chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon)$ and Z_1 be given by the sum of all the regular terms of the decomposition, so

$$\begin{aligned}Z_1(t) &= \frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) -\\ &\quad - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big)2\lambda\, d\lambda\\ &= \frac{1}{\pi t}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\partial_\lambda\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) -\\ &\quad - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big)\, d\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

The $t^{-3/2}$ decay from L^1 to L^∞ follows for Z_1 by taking the Fourier transform in λ and knowing that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_\lambda\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) -\\ &\quad - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^1,L^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

The L^2 boundedness of Z_1 follows by smoothing estimates. By interpolation we obtain that, for $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=1$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$,

$$\|Z_1(t)u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p},$$

as well as

$$\|Z_1(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}.$$

Let Z_2 be the singular part of the decomposition from Lemma 2.6, given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2(t) &= \frac{a}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\chi_{00}(\lambda) a R_0(\lambda^2) V\phi \otimes V\phi R_0(\lambda^2)\, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{a}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} e^{-it\lambda^2}\chi_{00}(\lambda)\frac{e^{i\lambda|x-z_1|}}{4\pi|x-z_1|} V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)\frac{e^{i\lambda|z_2-y|}}{4\pi|z_2-y|}\, dz_1\, dz_2. \end{aligned}$$

The subsequent Lemma 2.9 is the same as Lemma 4.10 from [Yaj], the only difference being the space of potentials for which the result holds. For the sake of completeness we repeat the proof given in [Yaj].

Lemma 2.9. *For* $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$

$$\|(Z_2(t)-R(t))u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-3/2}\|u\|_{L^1},\ \|Z_2(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.9. Let $b=|x-z_1|+|z_2-y|$ and

$$C(t,b)=\frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda^2+i\lambda b}\chi_{00}(\lambda)\, d\lambda.$$

We express $Z_2(t)$ as

$$Z_2(t)=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} C(t,b) a \frac{V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)}{|x-z_1||z_2-y|}\, dz_1\, dz_2.$$

Note that

$$C(t,b)=\frac{e^{i\frac{b^2}{4t}}}{i\sqrt{i\pi t}}\big(e^{is^2/4t}\chi_{00}^\vee(s)\big)^\wedge(b/2t).$$

Then $C(t,b) \lesssim t^{-1/2}$ and

$$|Z_2(t)(x,y)| \lesssim t^{-1/2}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2}\frac{|V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)|}{|z_1-x|}|z_2-y|\, dz_1\, dz_2.$$

Clearly $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|V(z_1)\phi(z_1)|}{|z_1-x|}\, dz_1 \in L^{3,\infty}_x$ and $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|V(z_2)\phi(z_2)|}{|z_2-y|}\, dz_2 \in L^{3,\infty}_y$, implying that

$$\|Z_2(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}.$$

We also have

$$\Big|\mathcal{F}(e^{\frac{is^2}{4t}}\chi_{00}^\vee(s))(b/2t)-1\Big| \lesssim t^{-1}(\|s^2\chi_0^\vee\|_1+|b|).$$

It is easy to see, for

$$B=2(|x-z_1||z_1|+|z_2-y||z_2|+|x-z_1||z_2-y|)+|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2,$$

that

$$|e^{ib^2/4t} - e^{i(x^2+y^2)/4t}| = |e^{i(|x-z_1|+|z_2-y|)^2/4t} - e^{i(x^2+y^2)/4t}| \leq \frac{B}{4t}.$$

It follows that

$$C(t,b) - \frac{e^{i(x^2+y^2)/4t}}{i\sqrt{i\pi t}} \lesssim (1+b+B)t^{-3/2}.$$

Then

$$\Big|Z_2(t) - \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{e^{i(x^2+y^2)/4t}}{i\sqrt{i\pi t}} a \frac{V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)\,dz_1\,dz_2}{|x-z_1||y-z_2|}\Big| \lesssim$$

$$\lesssim t^{-3/2} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{(1+b+B)|V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)|}{|x-z_1||z_2-y|}\,dz_1\,dz_2.$$

Now note that for $V \in \langle x\rangle^{-1}L^{3/2,1}$ and $\phi(x) \lesssim |x|^{-1}$

$$\sup_{x,y} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{(1+b+B)|V(z_1)\phi(z_1)V(z_2)\phi(z_2)|}{|x-z_1||z_2-y|}\,dz_1\,dz_2 < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{V(z_1)\phi(z_1)\,dz_1}{|x-z_1|} = \phi(x).$$

The conclusion (2.11) follows. □

Note that $R(t)$ also satisfies $\|R(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$, so the same holds for the difference:

$$\|(Z_2(t) - R(t))u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$$

By interpolation with the L^1 to L^∞ estimate of Lemma 2.9 we obtain that for $1 \leq p < 3/2$

$$\|(Z_2(t) - R(t))u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Since the same is true for Z_1, we obtain for $1 \leq p < 3/2$ that

$$\|Z(t)u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Knowing that $\|Z_i(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$ leads to the conclusion that $\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$. Combining this with the L^2 estimate $\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}$, we obtain that for $3/2 < p < 2$

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Thus we have proved all the conclusions of Proposition 2.8. □

Proposition 2.10. *Assume that $V \in L^{3/2,1}$ and that $H = -\Delta + V$ has a resonance ϕ at zero. Then*

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$$

and for $3/2 < p \leq 2$

$$\|e^{-itH}P_c u\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'})}\|u\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Write the evolution as

$$e^{-itH}P_c f = \frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)^{-1}V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big) f 2\lambda\, d\lambda.$$

We consider a partition of unity subordinated to the neighborhoods of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.7:

$$1 = \chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k) + (1-\chi_\infty(\lambda/R)).$$

By Lemma 2.3, for any $\lambda_k \neq 0$, $\chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k)\widehat{T}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2}} \cap \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2}}$ and $\chi_k((\lambda-\lambda_k)/\epsilon_k)\partial_\lambda\widehat{T}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,2}}$ and likewise for $1-\chi_\infty(\lambda/R))\widehat{T}(\lambda)$. We call these terms regular; their sum is also regular. By Lemma 2.7 $\chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon)L(\lambda)$ and $\lambda\chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon)S(\lambda)$ are also regular terms.

Let $\chi_{00}(\lambda) = \chi_0(\lambda/\epsilon)$ and Z_1 be given by the sum of all the regular terms of the decomposition, so

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(t) = \frac{1}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} & e^{-it\lambda^2}\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) - \\ & - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big)2\lambda\, d\lambda \\ = \frac{1}{\pi t}\int_{\mathbb{R}} & e^{-it\lambda^2}\partial_\lambda\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) - \\ & - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big)\, d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The $t^{-3/2}$ decay from L^1 to L^∞ follows for Z_1 by taking the Fourier transform in λ and knowing that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\lambda\big(R_0(\lambda^2) - \chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1L(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2) - \\ &\quad - (1-\chi_{00}(\lambda))R_0(\lambda^2)V_1\widehat{T}(\lambda)V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\big) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^1,L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By smoothing estimates it immediately follows that $Z_1(t)$ is L^2-bounded. Interpolating we obtain the desired $\|Z_1(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3,1}}$ estimate.

Let Z_2 be the singular part of the decomposition from Lemma 2.6, given by

$$Z_2(t) = \frac{2}{i\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda^2}\chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1(\lambda S(\lambda))V_2R_0(\lambda^2)\, d\lambda.$$

Note that $R_0(\lambda^2)V_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,2},L^{3,\infty}}$, $\chi_{00}(\lambda)\lambda S(\lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3,2},L^{3/2,2}}$, and $V_2R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,1},L^{3,2}}$. Thus

$$\chi_{00}(\lambda)R_0(\lambda^2)V_1(\lambda S(\lambda))V_2R_0(\lambda^2) \in \mathcal{V}_{L^{3/2,1},L^{3,\infty}}.$$

By taking the Fourier transform, this immediately implies the conclusion that $\|Z_2(t)u\|_{L^{3,\infty}} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|u\|_{L^{3/2,1}}$.

Putting the two estimates for Z_1 and Z_2 together, we obtain exactly the desired conclusion. □

Acknowledgments

This paper is indebted to Professor Kenji Yajima's article [Yaj], from which it borrows several lemmas, as well as the general plan of the proof. I would like to thank Professor Avy Soffer for referring me to that article and Professor Wilhelm Schlag for introducing me to this problem.

References

[BeGo1] M. Beceanu, M. Goldberg, *Critical estimates for the decay of the Schrödinger evolution*, accepted by Communications in Mathematical Physics.

[BeGo2] M. Beceanu, M. Goldberg, *Strichartz Estimates and Maximal Operators for the Wave Equation in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, submitted.

[BeLö] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[ErSc1] B. Erdoĝan, W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrodinger operators in the presence of a resonance and/or an eigenvalue at zero energy in dimension three: I*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 1 (2004), no. 4, pp. 359–379.

[ErSc2] B. Erdoĝan, W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in the presence of a resonance and/or an eigenvalue at zero energy in dimension three: II*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, Vol. 99, No. 1 (2006), pp. 199–248.

[Gol] *Dispersive bounds for the three-dimensional Schrdinger equation with almost critical potentials*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. 16 (2006), no. 3, pp. 517–536.

[GoSc] M. Goldberg, W. Schlag, *A limiting absorption principle for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with* L^p *potentials*, Intl. Math. Res. Not. 2004:75 (2004), pp. 4049–4071.

[GoSc] M. Goldberg, W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrdinger operators in dimensions one and three*, Comm. Math. Phys. vol. 251, no. 1 (2004), pp. 157–178.

[IoJe] A. D. Ionescu, D. Jerison, *On the absence of positive eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators with rough potentials*, Geometric and Functional Analysis 13, pp. 1029–1081 (2003).

[JeKa] A. Jensen, T. Kato, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time–decay of the wave functions*. Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), no. 3, pp. 583–611.

[JeNe] A. Jensen, G. Nenciu, *A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds*, Rev. Math. Phys. 13 (2001), no. 6, pp. 717–754.

[JSS] J.-L. Journé, A. Soffer, C. D. Sogge, *Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 5, pp. 573–604.

[KeTa] M. Keel, T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. Math. J. 120 (1998), pp. 955–980.

[Mur] M. Murata, *Asymptotic expansions in time for solutions of Schr?odinger-type equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1) (1982), pp. 10–56.

[Rau] J. Rauch, *Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödingers equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 61 (1978), no. 2, pp. 149–168.

[Sim] B. Simon, *Schrödinger semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), pp. 447–526.

[Ste] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1994.

[Yaj] *Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger equations with threshold resonance and eigenvalue*, Commun. Math. Phys. 259, pp. 475–509 (2005).

[Yaj2] K. Yajima, *The* L^p *boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities. I. The odd dimensional case*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006), no. 1, pp. 43–93.

Rutgers University Department of Mathematics, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ, 08854, USA
E-mail address: `mbeceanu@math.rutgers.edu`